



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/808,615	03/25/2004	Masayuki Masuyama	67471-038	5010

7590 03/31/2008
MCDERMOTT, WILL & EMERY
600 13th Street, N.W.
Washington, DC 20005-3096

EXAMINER

WANG, KENT F

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

2622

MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-----------	---------------

03/31/2008

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/808,615	Applicant(s) MASUYAMA ET AL.	
	Examiner KENT WANG	Art Unit 2622	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 February 2008.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-19 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1 and 19 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 2-18 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 02/15/2008 has been entered.

Response to Amendment

2. The amendments, filed on 02/15/2008, have been entered and made of record. Claims 1-19 are pending.

Response to Arguments

3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1 and 19 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) or rejection.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

5. Claims 1 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sakuragi (US 2001/0033337) in view of Kudo (US 6,784,931) and further in view of Sanchez (US 7,009,644).

Regarding claim 1, Sakuragi discloses an imaging device (solid state imaging pickup device) that outputs brightness information (image signal) according to an amount of incident light, comprising:

- an imaging unit (an amplification-type MOS sensor) that includes a plurality of unit cells (sensor cell) arranged two-dimensionally, each unit cell (sensor cell) including a photoelectric conversion part (photodiode 1, Fig 3) that generates a first output voltage (output voltage in vertical signal line 15, Fig 3) in a reset state (voltage is applied to the reset signal line and the photodiode is in a reset state) and a second output voltage (voltage in horizontal signal line 17, Fig 3) according to an amount of incident light (light incident onto the sensor), and each unit cell (sensor cell) generating a reset voltage (voltage at level H pulse 103, Fig 4) that corresponds to the first output voltage (output voltage in vertical signal line 15) and a read voltage (voltage at level H pulse 102, Fig 4) that corresponds to the second output voltage (voltage in horizontal signal line 17) ([0060]-[0061], [0065], and 0070]-[0071], Sakuragi); and
- an output unit (output amplifier 20, Fig 3) operable to output, in relation to each unit cell (sensor cell), output brightness information (image signal) indicating a difference between the reset voltage (voltage pulse 103, Fig 4) and the read voltage (voltage at level H pulse 102, Fig 4) when normal light is incident to the

imaging device (light incident onto the sensor) and the read voltage (voltage pulse 102, Fig 4) is in a predetermined range (reference voltage V_R) ([0065]-[0071], Sakuragi).

Kudo discloses the output brightness information indicating a difference between the reset voltage (a reset pulse signal ϕ_{RST} , Fig 4) and the read voltage (a read pulse signal ϕ_{SEL} , Fig 4) when normal light (a low brightness object) is incident to the imaging device (amount of light incident onto solid state imaging device 100, Fig 1) and the read voltage (a read pulse signal ϕ_{SEL}) is in a predetermined range (reference potential of the photodiode 101 Fig 2), and brightness information indicating high brightness when strong light (a high brightness object) is incident to the imaging device (solid state imaging device 100) and the read voltage (a read pulse signal ϕ_{SEL}) is not in the predetermined range (col. 6, line 66 to col. 7, line 61, Kudo).

Sakuragi and Kudo do not explicitly disclose an output unit operable to replace the first brightness information with second brightness information indicating higher brightness than the first brightness information.

Sanchez discloses an output unit operable to replace the first brightness information with second brightness information indicating higher brightness than the first brightness information and then output the second brightness information when strong light is incident to the imaging device and the read voltage is not in the predetermined range (as shown in Fig 2, the defective pixels that have passed through the statistical database filter are corrected in step 224 and the erroneous raw brightness data for a defective pixel is replaced by that pixel's local average brightness value, which is the average brightness value of all its immediately

neighboring pixels and then the corrected data from the defective pixels as well as data from non-defective pixels is prepared to be sent for subsequent processing in step 226, and for each pixel whose data that has been acquired, its local brightness deviation is compared to the deviation threshold as in step 218 and any pixel whose local brightness deviation exceeds the threshold deviation value is then flagged as a defective pixel and the statistical database is then queried, to determine whether the defective pixel's data value should be corrected in step 222) (col. 5, line 30 to col. 6, line 7, Sanchez).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have included the voltage output operation as taught by Kudo into Sakuragi's image pickup apparatus, as to make possible the advantages of providing an amplification type solid state imaging device capable of generating a relative light-strength detecting scheme and make it possible to take a preventive measure against shadow detail loss due to a voltage reset operation (col. 2, lines 30-34, Kudo).

And it would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have further included the image sensor as taught by Sanchez into Kudo and Sakuragi's image pickup apparatus, as to make possible the advantages of providing a method and a system for dynamically correcting anomalous pixels in the raw data taken from an image sensor array such as a CCD or a CMOS sensor array, thus allowing the use of dumb cameras to capture digital images for subsequent use by an intelligent host--such as being displayed on a computer monitor (col. 2, lines 30-36, Sanchez).

Regarding claim 19, Sakuragi discloses an imaging method for use in an imaging device (solid state imaging pickup device) that includes an imaging area formed by a plurality of

unit cells (sensor cell) arranged two-dimensionally and outputs brightness information (image signal) according to an amount of incident light (light incident onto the sensor), each unit cell (sensor cell) including a photoelectric conversion part (photodiode 1, Fig 3) that generates a first output voltage (output voltage in vertical signal line 15, Fig 3) in a reset state (voltage is applied to the reset signal line and the photodiode is in a reset state) and a second output voltage (voltage in horizontal signal line 17, Fig 3) according to an amount of incident light (light incident onto the sensor), and each unit cell (sensor cell) generating a reset voltage (voltage at level H pulse 103, Fig 4) corresponding to the first output voltage (output voltage in vertical signal line 15) and a read voltage (voltage at level H pulse 102, Fig 4) corresponding to the second output voltage (voltage in horizontal signal line 17), the method comprising a judgment step (voltage is applied to clamp capacitor 13, Fig 3 and set the W/L ratio) of judging, in relation to each unit cell (sensor cell), whether the read voltage is in a predetermined range (reference voltage V_R) ([0066]-[0067];

Kudo discloses the method further comprising:

- a first output step of outputting brightness information (image signal, the potential in the column line 4, Figs 1-4) indicating a difference between the reset voltage (a reset pulse signal ϕ_{RST} , Fig 4) and the read voltage (a read pulse signal ϕ_{SEL} , Fig 4) when normal light (a low brightness object) is incident to the imaging device (amount of light incident onto solid state imaging device 100, Fig 1) and the read voltage (a read pulse signal ϕ_{SEL}) is judged to be in the predetermined range (reference potential of the photodiode 101 Fig 2) (col. 6, line 66 to col. 7, line 61, Kudo); and

- a second output step of outputting brightness information (image signal, the potential in the column line 4, Figs 1-4) indicating high brightness when strong light (a high brightness object) is incident to the imaging device (solid state imaging device 100) and the read voltage (a read pulse signal ϕ_{SEL}) is judged not to be in the predetermined range (col. 6, line 66 to col. 7, line 61, Kudo).

Sakuragi and Kudo do not explicitly disclose an output unit operable to replace the first brightness information with second brightness information indicating higher brightness than the first brightness information.

Sanchez discloses an output unit operable to replace the first brightness information with second brightness information indicating higher brightness than the first brightness information and then output the second brightness information when strong light is incident to the imaging device and the read voltage is not in the predetermined range (as shown in Fig 2, the defective pixels that have passed through the statistical database filter are corrected in step 224 and the erroneous raw brightness data for a defective pixel is replaced by that pixel's local average brightness value, which is the average brightness value of all its immediately neighboring pixels and then the corrected data from the defective pixels as well as data from non-defective pixels is prepared to be sent for subsequent processing in step 226, and for each pixel whose data that has been acquired, its local brightness deviation is compared to the deviation threshold as in step 218 and any pixel whose local brightness deviation exceeds the threshold deviation value is then flagged as a defective pixel and the statistical database is

then queried, to determine whether the defective pixel's data value should be corrected in step 222) (col. 5, line 30 to col. 6, line 7, Sanchez).

Thus, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have included the voltage output steps as taught by Kudo into Sakuragi's image pickup method, as to make possible the advantages of providing an amplification type solid state imaging device capable of generating a relative light-strength detecting scheme and make it possible to take a preventive measure against shadow detail loss due to a voltage reset operation (col. 2, lines 30-34, Kudo).

And, it would also have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to have further included the image sensor as taught by Sanchez into Kudo and Sakuragi's image pickup apparatus, as to make possible the advantages of providing a method and a system for dynamically correcting anomalous pixels in the raw data taken from an image sensor array such as a CCD or a CMOS sensor array, thus allowing the use of dumb cameras to capture digital images for subsequent use by an intelligent host--such as being displayed on a computer monitor (col. 2, lines 30-36, Sanchez).

Allowable Subject Matter

6. Claims 2-18 are previously objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure: Nishio et al. (US 7,263,215), Forst (US 6,753,914), Takayama et al. (US 6,683,643), Kohashi et al. (US 6,642,960), Suzuki (US 5,327,246), and Katoh et al. (US 5,625,413).

Inquiries

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kent Wang whose telephone number is 571-270-1703. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:00 A.M. - 5:30 PM (every other Friday off).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ngoc Yen Vu can be reached on 571-272-7320. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-270-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://portal.uspto.gov/external/portal/pair>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Application/Control Number: 10/808,615
Art Unit: 2622

Page 10

/Timothy J Henn/
Examiner, Art Unit 2622